Abstract

The impact of urbanized areas on local climate is a well-documented phenomenon. Through the application of remote sensing technologies, the impact of the city landscape can be observed over a larger spatial extent. As interest in promoting environmental sustainability continues to grow, there is a desire to identify methods to reduce the adverse consequences of urbanization. In this study the problem of urban thermal pollution was examined. Focusing on the measurement and mapping of anthropogenic waste heat, this study employed a “snap-shot” analysis at regional scale of the waste heat/land cover associations using data acquired from the Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) and Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS) earth observational satellite. The “snap-shot” method documented zones in the region of extreme patterns of waste heat and facilitated the “fingerprinting” of waste heat patterns to specific categories of land cover using GIS. The resulting fingerprints delineated areas in the study area where land cover configurations produced excesses of waste heat and served to identify potential targets for waste heat mitigation activities.
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Introduction

The relationship between urban land cover and elevated surface temperatures has been documented in the literature for well over forty years [1,2]. With the advent of satellite-based thermal sensors new techniques have been introduced to capture the heating effects related to the surface radiative and thermodynamic properties of the urban surface. These studies have contributed to a deeper understanding of the role urban land transformations play with respect to unique attributes such as energy consumption, ecosystem function, human health and components that define local micro-climates [3]. More recently, interest has focused on the question of urban thermal pollution and the application of remotely sensed data as an assessment tool [4]. Urban thermal pollution is known to be strongly associated with increases in ambient temperatures at both local and regional scales [5]. The intensification of urban land cover and its spread exacerbates thermal pollution, which is closely related to the urban heat island effect. Anthropogenic heat, produced by the material fabric of the urban surface and the pace and form of human activities common to this landscape has become an important focal point in the drive to improve the environmental sustainability of the city [6].

One approach to address the adverse impacts of urban thermal pollution and enhance urban sustainability concentrates effort on the extent and distribution of green space as a mitigating agent [7]. The composition and configuration of the urban surface creates a complex spatial pattern with contrasting densities, building heights, materials and uses together with a variable mix of vegetative and non-vegetative areas whose configuration and densities also show substantial spatial variation. Surface complexity frustrates planning efforts aim at mitigating urban heat island effects and target areas where the generation of urban waste heat can be reduced [8,9]. A tractable methodology is required to support planning efforts to address urban thermal pollution, guide mitigation and combat waste-heat generation.

Objectives

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate an approach to “fingerprint” sources of urban “waste heat” through the application of moderate resolution remotely sensed data. Applying thermal remote sensing in scientific investigations of the urban heat island effect can be involved and expensive undertakings [10]. Cost and complexity issues frustrate wide-spread application of this technology. Limitations stems largely from data collection issues related to the orbital
parameters that define how earth observational satellites function, earth observational systems explain a re-visit period that do not permit the collection of a steady stream of imagery over the urban surface. Furthermore, clouds and atmospheric interactions together with short dwell times over a target conspire to reduce image fidelity. However, the main technical concern with satellite-based observations is that the surface temperatures acquired by a sensor only describe the spatial pattern of upward thermal radiance as received by the satellite platform [10,11]. Given this imperfect setting, the challenge is to exploit the capabilities of satellite systems and develop useful approaches that deliver actionable information to decision makers. The methodology demonstrated in this paper begins these processes by first relaxing the requirements typical of a scientific study of the urban heating effect. Rather, research presented in this paper centers on mapping thermal waste heat pollution by adopting a “snap-shot” perspective that detects and quantifies areas where thermal patterns identify conditions that exceed ambient temperature characteristics. By delineating zones in the urban surface that identify sources of waste heat, the pattern of excess heating can be related back to specific land covers and candidate locations can be targeted mitigation. The product of this methodology, facilitates the synoptic-scale comparison of waste heat patterns with urban land cover that can be linked to more specific land use and ownership data to guide planning efforts in a GIS environment.

The Mechanics of Urban Heating and Mitigation

When compared to natural landscape, the artificial features and arrangements of the built environment establish unique surface energy flows [12-14]. The behavior of net radiation when encountering the texture and material composition of urban land modifies the absorption of short-wave and long-wave radiation, transpiration and evaporation rates, surface energy storage and the flux of latent and sensible heat transfer. Add to these conditions the contribution of anthropogenic heat, and the blocking and shielding effects of urban terrain, and a pattern of local climate is produced that is substantially different from less urbanized surfaces. This condition has been modeled as the urban energy budget and expressed symbolically according to the relationship:

\[ Q^* + Q_f = Q_{h} + Q_{e} + \Delta Q_s + \Delta Q_a \] (1)

Where \( Q^* \) defines net radiation, \( Q_h \) and \( Q_e \) explain the fluxes of sensible and latent heat respectively, \( Q_f \) represents anthropogenic energy releases and \( \Delta Q_s \) describes net advection across the surface and \( \Delta Q_a \) represents energy storage. Each term identified in (Equation 1) are functions of the spatial arrangement, density, geographic location and the built characteristics of urban form. Those dependencies suggest that the energy balance and micro-climate will exhibit variability from city to city as these parameters respond to local conditional influences. Therefore while urban surface display a micro-climate different from its surroundings, the intensity of that contrast will reveal measurable spatial and temporal differences [3].

Under ideal conditions the urban heating effect can produce thermal patterns between 10 - 15 oC above those of surrounding land areas, increasing energy demand for cooling and degrading human comfort during summer seasons while reversing these characteristics for winter months [11]. The range of temperature increase and its spatio-temporal variation depends largely on a set of casual factors descriptive of an urbanized landscape [8]:

- Reduced vegetative surface that retards evapotranspiration
- Low albedo materials that enhance the absorption of solar radiation
- Increased surface roughness that modifies air flow, and
- Increased anthropogenic heat releases

Each of these actors explain conditions common to the transformation of a “natural” surface as a region undergoes urbanization. As the pace of urbanization continues a wider range of casual conditions adds additional influence to the urban heating pattern (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low albedo materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destruction of vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban canopy effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind blocking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air pollutants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy consumption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Conditions that influence urban heating.

Placing these actors into a process oriented design of cause and effect suggests pathways for mitigation (Figure1).

Mitigation strategies

As urban sustainability programs gain traction, there is renewed interest in addressing the problem of urban thermal pollution [15]. Mitigation efforts tend to follow one of four primary pathways: 1) increasing the albedo (reflectivity) of urban surface, 2) increasing
identification of waste heat hot spots, however, is methodologically elusive. Integrating research findings with urban design and other planning strategies depends on developing programs that can identify and prioritize, on a cost-effective basis, where, and how mitigation could be undertaken. With a thermal profile established, remote sensing analysis documents the geographic locations of observed anomalies. While this approach is somewhat of a departure from traditional remote sensing studies where land cover classification takes priority, fingerprinting capitalizes on the generation of information to investigate a specific event or condition and place it in its spatial context. In the example of waste heat pollution, analysis centers on two questions; 1) where are the thermal patterns in excess of ambient conditions, and 2) what land surface characteristics are producing those patterns? With this information, the broad spatial pattern is documented and mitigation priorities can be established.

Methodology

To demonstrate waste heat fingerprinting over an expansive urban surface, a Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) and Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS) images were acquired for September 14, 2015 for a region in northeast Ohio (path 19, row 31). The Landsat 8 scene was subset and clipped to conform to the administrative boundary of Cuyahoga County Ohio which served as the study area for this analysis (Figure 2). The study region represents a densely populated urban setting with a wide mix of land uses and cover types that provide contrasting surface arrangements to evaluate. The September date selected for this study was the optimal cloud free image that was temporally closest to dates in late August that typically define the region’s period of maximum heating. The maximum daily temperature for the study area was taken from the National Center for Environmental Information archive for Cleveland Hopkins International Airport and Cleveland Burke Lakefront Airport. Temperature values for these two recording stations were averaged and assumed constant over the study area. With a thermal profile established, remote sensing analysis could be undertaken.
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Study area location and regional setting.

The Landsat 8 TIRS sensor has two thermal infrared channels (Band 10 and Band 11 respectively). For the purposes of this study, Landsat 8 thermal infrared Band 10 was chosen to provide estimates of land surface temperature. The process involved transforming the digital values of brightness in the band 10 image to land surface temperature. Image analysis was conducted using ENVI 5.1 and can be summarized according to a sequence of steps as illustrated in (Figure 3).

Image pre-processing

Pre-processing prepared the raw satellite image for analysis. Three operations were performed: 1) radiometric calibration, 2) geometric rectification and 3) atmospheric correction. Radiometric calibration involved converting the raw digital numbers of the Landsat 8 scene to at-satellite radiance values. The at-satellite radiance is computed using the calibration values for gain and offset read from the image metadata file. Those equations are provided in (Table 2).

\[
L(\lambda) = \text{gain} \times Q_{dn} + \text{bias} \\
\text{Gain} = \frac{((L_{\text{max}}(\lambda) - L_{\text{min}}(\lambda)))}{(Q_{\text{max}} - Q_{\text{min}})} \\
\]

where \( L(\lambda) \) is the spectral radiance of the thermal band detected by the sensor in watts/(meter squared * μm); \( Q_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum quantized calibrated DN with \( Q_{\text{max}} = 255 \); \( Q_{dn} \) is the DN value of the grey level of the image; \( L_{\text{max}}(\lambda) \) and \( L_{\text{min}}(\lambda) \) are the maximum and minimum received spectral radiance values which are \( Q_{dn} = 0 \) and \( Q_{\text{max}} = 255 \), respectively. \( L_{\text{max}}(\lambda) \) and \( L_{\text{min}}(\lambda) \) values can be found in the Landsat header file.

Atmospheric correction was accomplished using the histogram minimum method. This technique assumes that the atmosphere is uniform across the scene. Therefore, a dark object (such as a shadow) should have a digital value of zero on the image. If the observed value appears substantially larger than zero, the difference is considered a function of atmospheric scattering. That difference is then subtracted from all pixel values in the image. Geometric rectification involved two operations: 1) registering the image to a geographic grid and 2) performing an image subset to clip the study area from the main scene using a vector file outlining the administrative boundary of the study area.

Calculating estimates of land surface temperature

With the image transformed to units of radiance, surface temperature estimation followed the procedure outlined by Avdan and Jovanovska, and Weng et al., [27,28]. Converting radiance to temperature first required calculating at-sensor temperature (brightness temperature, BT) according to the formula:

\[
BT = \frac{K_2}{\ln(K_1/L + 1)} - 273.15 \\
\]

where \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) are pre-launch calibration values and \( L \) is the spectral radiance of the thermal band.

Next, calculating land surface emissivity by obtaining the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) for the surface based on the relation [29]

\[
\text{NDVI}_{\text{Landsat 8}} = (\text{Band 5} - \text{Band 4}) / (\text{Band 5} + \text{Band 4}) \\
\]

Then approximating the Proportion of vegetation (Pv) for each pixel in the scene based on:

\[
\text{Pv} = \frac{\text{NDVI}_{\text{Landsat 8}}}{2} + 0.5 \\
\]
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According to this relationship, waste heat represents heated air that is modifying its surroundings, contributing to warmer conditions that may influence energy demand, human comfort and related conditions indicative of the urban impact of micro-climate. Waste heat values that deviate from ambient conditions, therefore identify opportunities for mitigation. Employing the synoptic-scale vantage-point of an earth observational sensor, a pattern of waste heat can be calculated and examined over a comparative large urban area.

The satellite-derived distribution of Land Surface Temperature (LST) for the Cuyahoga County study area is given in figure 4b with its accompanying land cover surface presented in figure 4a. Land surface temperature radiates outward in a near concentric pattern from the core of urban built form focused on the city of Cleveland. The pattern moderates outward from the urban core with ribbons of cooler temperatures that correspond with riparian corridors and tree covered areas. Variations in the density and form of the built environment are evidences by temperature

Land cover assessment

To support the task of connecting specific thermal fingerprints with specific land cover arrangements, an unsupervised image classification was performed. For this study, unsupervised classification employed the method of K-means clustering to group pixel brightness values into homogeneous natural (numerical) groupings [26]. Landsat 8 bands 2, 3 and 5 were used for this purpose with an initial cluster seed set to fifteen. These based defined the most optimal combinations based on histogram analysis. These initial clusters were then merged and reclassified manually into seven land cover categories. An accuracy assessment of the land cover surface was undertaken using validation samples acquired from NAPP imagery for a portion of the study area. The results of the validation test are given in (Table 3).

\[
LST = \frac{BT}{1 + \lambda \frac{BT}{\rho} \ln(\varepsilon)}
\]

where \(\lambda\) is set to 10.895, \(\varepsilon\) is the value of emissivity, \(\rho\) is set to 0.75, and \(\varepsilon\) is the value of emissivity surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted Class</th>
<th>Industrial and Commercial Complexes</th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Forest Land</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Grass/Agricultural Land</th>
<th>Bare Soil</th>
<th>No. of classified pixels</th>
<th>User’s Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial and Commercial Complexes</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>97.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Land</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>97.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass / Agricultural Land</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare Soil</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of ground truth pixels</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer’s accuracy</td>
<td>63.50%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>92.90%</td>
<td>97.50%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97.60%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Accuracy</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Kappa                           | 88%                                 |             |      |             |       |                         |           |                         |

Table 3: Land Cover Accuracy Assessment Land cover accuracies were considered sufficient to facilitate reasonable assessment of waste heat relation to land cover type and patterns of human activity.

Results

The concept of waste heat was suggested by Hey et al., [30]. The underlying premise supporting the formation of waste heat is based on the assumption that given a remotely sensed temperature surface, the value of each pixel in the scene explains an estimate of kinetic temperature radiating skyward. Any pixel in the image with a thermal condition above the nominal ambient air temperature recorded at the approximate time of satellite overpass explains waste or excess heat. Using recorded ambient air temperature over a study site, waste heat can be expressed as:

\[
\text{Waste Heat} = \text{Pixel Temperature} - \text{Ambient Air Temperature}
\]

(7)

According to this relationship, waste heat represents heated air that is modifying its surroundings, contributing to warmer conditions...
patterns that range between 20 to 23°C. The 2015 land cover surface when viewed in combination with LST reveals islands of heating that characterize areas of increased urban densities around sub-urban core parcels, industrial and commercial complexes and following the linear pattern of elevated temperature along major transportation arteries.

![Figure 4: Spatial distribution of a) land cover and b) land surface temperature.](image)

Ambient air temperature for the study area for the September 14, 2015 date at the approximate time of satellite overpass was 23.5°C. Applying this value in the estimating waste heat equation (equation 7) produced a waste heat or excess heating pattern shown in figure 5. Waste heat values ranged from -5°C below ambient air temperature to maximum values from 7 to as high as 20°C for the September 14th date. Excess heat peaks over densely built-up and impervious surface and declines over vegetated areas.

Fingerprinting the sources of waste heat peaks in relation to land cover was accomplished by first breaking the larger Cuyahoga study area into a smaller analytic window displaying land cover and waste heat (Figures 6 and 7). This was done primarily to facilitate visualization of the pattern. Using this window, the waste heat and land cover layers were passed to GIS for analysis. Two basic GIS operations were performed using the Idrisi TerrSet geographic analysis system. First, the analytic window for waste heat was reclassified into four waste heat categories (Table 4). The intervals selected suggest surfaces that trend upward from areas below ambient temperatures, increasing one standard deviation to zones 10 to 20°C above ambient air temperature.

![Figure 5: Spatial distribution of waste heat.](image)

**Table 4:** “Waste” Heat and Land Cover Legend Categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Heat Class</th>
<th>Land Cover Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 = -5.0 to 3.0</td>
<td>1 = Industrial/Commercial Built-up Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 = 3.0 to 5.0</td>
<td>2 = Residential/Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 = 5.0 – 10.0</td>
<td>3 = Road/Impervious Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 = 10.0 – 20.0</td>
<td>4 = Vegetated Tree Cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 = Vegetated Grass/Parkland (medium density vegetated cover)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 = Vegetated Grass/Parkland (medium density vegetated cover)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 = Water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 = Soil/Barren (low density vegetated cover)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 = Unclassified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 6: Analytic window displaying spatial distribution of land cover.](image)
To form a more detailed understanding of the association between heating excess and land cover a cross tabulation analysis was performed using the land cover and waste heat data layers. Cross-image tabulation compares the categories of land cover against the four waste heat classes. Two cross-image tabulations were conducted. The initial analysis compared the four waste heat categories across the all land cover classes (Figure 8). Industrial and related built up areas (category 1) together with residential areas (category 2) and impervious road surfaces (category 3) displayed the strongest associations with waste heat classes from 5 to 20°C above ambient air temperature (Figure 9). Road, impervious surface and industrial/commercial areas corresponded well with waste heat excesses above 10°C as did the soil and barren land cover categories (Table 5). These associations are highlighted in bold type on (Table 5). The pattern between land cover and waste heat was significant (p=0.00) with an association of 0.45 based on the Cramer’s V statistic. While waste heat is an observable function of land cover, where in the spatial pattern these relationships are most pronounced cannot be determined from the cross-tabulation table alone.

Highlighting the spatial distribution and concentration of waste heat generation was accomplished through a series of selections that were made from the cross-image results. Focusing on waste heat conditions 10 to 20°C above ambient air temperature, the analysis highlighted the spatial distribution of industrial and impervious/barren land cover classes (Figure 10). Impervious and industrial/commercial areas displayed the highest proportion of cells in the image that corresponded to the maximum waste heat.
category (highlighted in bold) with smaller contributions from the residential and soil/barren land cover classes (Table 6). Geographically, these regions show a strong spatial grouping toward the portions of the study window that define zones within the urban core of the region and trend to the west following major portions of the road system (Figure 11).

Selecting individual combinations of land cover and fingerprint categories, as explained through the cross image analysis, facilitates visual evaluation of the spatial arrangement, location and extent of the waste heat pattern. The individual data layers produced from this exercise offers actionable data to support decision regarding the formulation of mitigation priorities and the broad issues related to jurisdictional aspects of regional land use planning. For instance, the impact of road surface and the density of impervious surface can be observed by relating these land cover categories to their defining waste heat class (Figure 12). In this example, the resulting map layer targets areas at the northern portion of the study window and reveals the heavy construction of road/impervious surface that produce excess heating patterns. Urban greening and other mitigation strategies would be expected to focus on the areas highlighted to achieve desired reductions in anthropogenic waste heat. Similarly, mapping zones of minimum waste heat conditions identifies heavily vegetated areas in the urban landscape and demonstrates the significance of tree cover and reducing built-form density as a means to maintain or lower ambient air temperatures across the region (Figure 13). These results demonstrate a cost effective means to quantify portions of the study window that define zones within the urban core of the region and trend to the west following major portions of the road system (Figure 11).

![Figure 10: Cross-image tabulation results displaying selected zones of land cover (right column) against maximum waste (heat left column).](image1)

![Figure 11: Selected zones highlighting spatial distribution of maximum waste heat.](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>29616</td>
<td>243426</td>
<td>33935</td>
<td>87895</td>
<td>45305</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>20918</td>
<td>463363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4767</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>7085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>34383</td>
<td>243786</td>
<td>35279</td>
<td>87895</td>
<td>45313</td>
<td>2220</td>
<td>21504</td>
<td>470448</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Chi Square = 41939.33594
df = 7
P-Level = 0.0000
Cramer’s V = 0.2986

![Figure 12: Land cover contributions to waste heat formation of road/impervious surface 10-20°C above ambient temperature.](image3)
residual heat, identify the location where waste heat exceeds ambient conditions, fingerprint specific land covers that produce unsatisfactory conditions and a remote sensing methodology that supports the continuous monitoring of the urban landscape.

Using the thermal infrared sensor of the Landsat 8 TIRS, a methodology was introduced that provided a snap-shot documentation of waste heat that was analyzed using GIS operations. GIS processing delineated zones in the urban pattern of waste heat excess and related those patterns to specific land cover types and arrangements. This approach highlighted the sources of excess and enabled the spatial “fingerprinting” of the observed waste heat anomalies to be more clearly understood. The observed association between land cover and waste heat was statistically significant and revealed the important contribution of dense urban pattern on the spatial distribution of waste heat. Individual selections made via GIS from cross-image tabulation identified specific locations in the study area where mitigation efforts could focus. The flexibility of this approach allows combinations of waste heat categories to be compared to individual land cover types to better inform the decision making process. Through the introduction of the “waste heat” concept and the application of thermal remote sensing, this study extends research on the urban heating phenomena, providing a methodology to guide the implementation mitigation programs at a regional scale that: 1) quantifies residual/waste heat, 2) identifies specific locations of maximum waste heat, and 3) facilitates continuous monitoring of waste heat mitigation programs at a synoptic scale in a cost-effective manner.

Conclusion

Elevated surface temperature is a documented phenomenon common to the urban landscape. The combination of reduced vegetated cover, low albedo surfaces and increased surface roughness are among the causal factors that produce a pattern of micro-climate distinct from surrounding non-urban areas. The urban surface, defining a composition and configuration of materials of varying densities, contrasts significantly from natural ecosystems and generates unique and conflicting environmental patterns. Recent interest in enhancing the environmental sustainability of the urban landscape has placed focus on mitigating the adverse effects of built form and related human actions on the environmental system. The application of satellite remote sensing has played a pivotal role in the analysis, and monitoring of the urban pattern and its impact on the environmental system. One important contribution has been the capacity of remotely sensed data to broaden understanding of urban thermal pollution and monitor changes within the urban landscape. Within this application area, the question of anthropogenic waste heat, its relation to land cover and the role of remotely sensing technology to produce actionable data to guide mitigation planning has not been addressed. In this paper research was conducted to demonstrate the feasibility of employing moderate resolution earth observational data to fingerprint waste heat patterns in an urban setting. Urban waste heat was defined as a thermal condition above the nominal ambient air temperature recorded at the approximate time of satellite overpass produced by kinetic temperature radiating skyward from a given surface.

Using the thermal infrared sensor of the Landsat 8 TIRS, a

Figure 13: Land cover contributions to waste heat formation of forest/vegetation cover 5°C below ambient air temperature.
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